

**GOA** 

Judicial Services Exam

CIVIL JUDGE CADRE

**High Court of Bombay** 

Judgement Volume - 4



# Goa JUDICIAL SERVICES

## CONTENTS

1.	Criminal Procedure Code	(Pg. 1)
•	Manjit Singh Versus The State of Punjab and Anr.	7
•	Mau ji Ram Versus State of Uttar Pradesh & Anr.	10
•	Kathi David rawju Versus The State of Andhra Pradesh & Anr.	13
•	Amir Hamza Shaikh & Ors. Versus State of Maharashtra & Anr.	17
•	Saleem Ahmed Versus State & Anr.	21
•	P. Chidambaram Versus Directorate of Enforcement	24
•	P. Chidambaram Versus Directorate of	34
•	Enforcement	
•	Nevada properties Private limited Through its Directors Versus State of Maharashtra and Another's	39
•	Guru @ Gurubaran & Ors. Versus. State rep. By inst of Police	41
•	Vinubhai haribhai Malaviya and Ors. Versus The State of Gujarat and Anr.	44
•	Raju kumar sharma & Anr. Versus The State of uttar Pradesh & Anr.	47
•	State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Ubham and Others	49
•	State of MP Versus Man Singh	54
•	Rekha Murarka Versus The State of west Bengal and Anr.	57
•	Mahipal Versus Rajesh Kumar @ Palia & Anr.	61
•	New india assurance Co. Ltd. Versus Krishna Kumar Pandey	66
•	Puneet Dalmia Appellant V Central bureau of Investigation Respondent	69
2.	Indian Penal Code	(Pg. 72)
•	Mahadevappa Versus State of Karnataka	81
•	Yogendra @jogendra Singh Versus State of Madhya Pradesh	84
•	Rajesh Versus State of Haryana (2019 sc)	89
•	Nawaz Versus The State rep. By Inspector of police	92
•	Ramji V. State of Punjab (2019 sc)	94
•	State of Uttar Pradesh Versus Faquirey	98
•	Mala Singh Andnors versus State of Haryana Gagan kumar Versus the State of Punjab	100 107
•	Balvir Singh Bhav Singh Harnam Singh Versus State of mp	107
	State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Harjeet Singh and Another	117
•	Dnyaneshwar Suresh Borkar Versus State of Maharashtra	119
•	Thangasamy Versus State of Tamil Nadu	121
•	Jagdish Versus State of Madhya Pradesh	124

<ul> <li>Sukumaran Versus State rep. By the Inspector of police</li> <li>Sachin Kumar Singhraha Versus State of Madhya Pradesh</li> <li>Ganga Prasad Mahto Versus State of Bihar and Anr. (2019 SC)</li> <li>Rupali Devi versus State of Uttar Pradesh and ors.</li> <li>Anurag Soni Versus State of Chhattisgarh (2019 sc)</li> <li>The State of Rajasthan versus Kanhaiya Lal</li> <li>Ashok Kumar Mehra and anr. Versus The State of Punjab, etc.</li> <li>Union of India Versus Dharam pal</li> <li>Nagji Odhavji Kumbher and Anr. Versus State of Gujarat (2019 sc)</li> <li>Jagdishraj Khatta Versus State of Himachal Pradesh</li> <li>Vikarm Johar Versus The State of Uttar</li> <li>Fradesh &amp; anr.</li> <li>Govind Singh Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan Chopra Through power of Attorney holder Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan Chopra Through power of Attorney holder Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan Chopra Through power of Attorney holder Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr.</li> <li>Birla corporation Limited Versus Adventz investment and holding limited</li> <li>Omanakuttan Versus State of Rajasthan</li> <li>Birla corporation Limited Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.</li> <li>Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors.</li> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.</li> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.</li> <li>Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand</li> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria &amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus The State of Bihar</li> <li>Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Bihar&lt;</li></ul>			
<ul> <li>Ganga Prasad Mahto Versus State of Bihar and Anr. (2019 SC)</li> <li>Rupali Devi versus State of Uttar Pradesh and ors.</li> <li>Anurag Soni Versus State of Chhattisgarh (2019 sc)</li> <li>The State of Rajasthan versus Kanhaiya Lal</li> <li>Ashok Kumar Mehra and anr. Versus The State of Punjab, etc.</li> <li>Union of India Versus Dharam pal</li> <li>Nagji Odhavji Kumbher and Anr. Versus State of Gujarat (2019 sc)</li> <li>Jagdishraj Khatta Versus State of Himachal Pradesh</li> <li>Vikarm Johar Versus The State of Uttar</li> <li>Pradesh &amp; anr.</li> <li>Govind Singh Versus The State of Chhattisgarh</li> <li>Rashmi Chopra Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan Chopra Through power of Attorney holder Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Amit Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr.</li> <li>Birla corporation Limited Versus Adventz investment and holding limited</li> <li>Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala</li> <li>Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan</li> <li>Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.</li> <li>Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors.</li> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.</li> <li>Anita Suresh Versus Union of India &amp; Ors. (delhi high court)</li> <li>Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand</li> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria &amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Belhi nct</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar</li> </ul>	•	Sukumaran Versus State rep. By the Inspector of police	127
Rupali Devi versus State of Uttar Pradesh and ors. Anurag Soni Versus State of Chhattisgarh (2019 sc) The State of Rajasthan versus Kanhaiya Lal Ashok Kumar Mehra and anr. Versus The State of Punjab, etc. Union of India Versus Dharam pal Sagii Odhavji Kumbher and Anr. Versus State of Gujarat (2019 sc) Jagdishraj Khatta Versus State of Himachal Pradesh Sc) Jagdishraj Khatta Versus State of Himachal Pradesh Sc) Jagdishraj Khatta Versus State of Uttar Pradesh & anr. Govind Singh Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Anita Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan Chopra Through power of Attorney holder Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. Birla corporation Limited Versus Adventz investment and holding limited Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc. Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors. Vijay Kumar Gupta, & Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p. Anita Suresh Versus Union of India & Ors. (delhi high court) Yetate of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria & anr. Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct	•	Sachin Kumar Singhraha Versus State of Madhya Pradesh	133
<ul> <li>Anurag Soni Versus State of Chhattisgarh (2019 sc)</li> <li>The State of Rajasthan versus Kanhaiya Lal</li> <li>Ashok Kumar Mehra and anr. Versus The State of Punjab, etc.</li> <li>Union of India Versus Dharam pal</li> <li>Nagji Odhavji Kumbher and Anr. Versus State of Gujarat (2019 sc)</li> <li>Jagdishraj Khatta Versus State of Himachal Pradesh</li> <li>Vikarm Johar Versus The State of Uttar</li> <li>Govind Singh Versus The State of Chhattisgarh</li> <li>Rashmi Chopra Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Anita Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan Chopra Through power of Attorney holder Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and nr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and nr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and nr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and nr.</li> <li>Birla corporation Limited Versus Adventz investment and holding limited</li> <li>Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala</li> <li>Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan</li> <li>Gentral bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.</li> <li>Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors.</li> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.</li> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.</li> <li>Anita Suresh Versus Union of India &amp; Ors. (delhi high court)</li> <li>Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand</li> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria &amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh &amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus The State of Bihar</li> </ul>	•	Ganga Prasad Mahto Versus State of Bihar and Anr. (2019 SC)	136
<ul> <li>The State of Rajasthan versus Kanhaiya Lal</li> <li>Ashok Kumar Mehra and anr. Versus The State of Punjab, etc.</li> <li>Union of India Versus Dharam pal</li> <li>Nagji Odhavji Kumbher and Anr. Versus State of Gujarat (2019 sc)</li> <li>Jagdishraj Khatta Versus State of Himachal Pradesh</li> <li>Vikarm Johar Versus The State of Uttar</li> <li>Pradesh &amp; anr.</li> <li>Govind Singh Versus The State of Chhattisgarh</li> <li>Rashmi Chopra Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan Chopra Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan Chopra Through power of Attorney holder Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Amit Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Kuldeep Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr.</li> <li>Birla corporation Limited Versus Adventz investment and holding limited</li> <li>Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala</li> <li>Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan</li> <li>Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.</li> <li>Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors.</li> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.</li> <li>Anita Suresh Versus Union of India &amp; Ors. (delhi high court)</li> <li>Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand</li> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria &amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh &amp; anr.</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar</li> </ul>	•	Rupali Devi versus State of Uttar Pradesh and ors.	137
<ul> <li>Ashok Kumar Mehra and anr. Versus The State of Punjab, etc.</li> <li>Union of India Versus Dharam pal</li> <li>Nagji Odhavji Kumbher and Anr. Versus State of Gujarat (2019 sc)</li> <li>Jagdishraj Khatta Versus State of Himachal Pradesh</li> <li>Vikarm Johar Versus The State of Uttar</li> <li>Pradesh &amp; anr.</li> <li>Govind Singh Versus The State of Chhattisgarh</li> <li>Rashmi Chopra Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan Chopra Through power of Attorney holder Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Kuldeep Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Kuldeep Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr.</li> <li>Birla corporation Limited Versus Adventz investment and holding limited</li> <li>Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala</li> <li>Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan</li> <li>Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.</li> <li>Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors.</li> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.</li> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.</li> <li>Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand</li> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus State of Uttarakhand</li> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus State of Otlah nct</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar</li> </ul>	•	Anurag Soni Versus State of Chhattisgarh (2019 sc)	140
<ul> <li>Union of India Versus Dharam pal</li> <li>Nagji Odhavji Kumbher and Anr. Versus State of Gujarat (2019 sc)</li> <li>Jagdishraj Khatta Versus State of Himachal Pradesh</li> <li>Vikarm Johar Versus The State of Uttar</li> <li>Govind Singh Versus The State of Chhattisgarh</li> <li>Rashmi Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Anita Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan Chopra Through power of Attorney holder Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Amit Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Kuldeep Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr.</li> <li>Birla corporation Limited Versus Adventz investment and holding limited</li> <li>Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala</li> <li>Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan</li> <li>Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.</li> <li>Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors.</li> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.</li> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.</li> <li>Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand</li> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria &amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Bihar</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar</li> </ul>	•	The State of Rajasthan versus Kanhaiya Lal	146
<ul> <li>Nagji Odhavji Kumbher and Anr. Versus State of Gujarat (2019 sc)</li> <li>Jagdishraj Khatta Versus State of Himachal Pradesh</li> <li>Vikarm Johar Versus The State of Uttar</li> <li>Govind Singh Versus The State of Chhattisgarh</li> <li>Rashmi Chopra Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Anita Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan Chopra Through power of Attorney holder Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Kuldeep Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Kuldeep Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr.</li> <li>Birla corporation Limited Versus Adventz investment and holding limited</li> <li>Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala</li> <li>Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan</li> <li>Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.</li> <li>Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors.</li> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.</li> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.</li> <li>Yijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.</li> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria &amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh &amp; anr.</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar</li> </ul>	•	Ashok Kumar Mehra and anr. Versus The State of Punjab, etc.	150
sc)  Jagdishraj Khatta Versus State of Himachal Pradesh Jagdishraj Khatta Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh & anr.  Govind Singh Versus The State of Chhattisgarh Rashmi Chopra Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Anita Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan Chopra Through power of Attorney holder Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Amit Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Kuldeep Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. Birla corporation Limited Versus Adventz investment and holding limited  Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.  Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors. Vijay Kumar Gupta, & Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p. Anita Suresh Versus Union of India & Ors. (delhi high court) Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria & anr. Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh & anr. 239 Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar	•	Union of India Versus Dharam pal	152
<ul> <li>Vikarm Johar Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh &amp; anr.</li> <li>Govind Singh Versus The State of Chhattisgarh Rashmi Chopra Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Anita Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan Chopra Through power of Attorney holder Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Amit Chopra Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Kuldeep Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr.</li> <li>Birla corporation Limited Versus Adventz investment and holding limited</li> <li>Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.</li> <li>Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors. Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p. Anita Suresh Versus Union of India &amp; Ors. (delhi high court) Anita Suresh Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria &amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh &amp; anr. 239</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar</li> </ul>	•		158
Pradesh & anr.  Govind Singh Versus The State of Chhattisgarh Rashmi Chopra Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Anita Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan Chopra Through power of Attorney holder Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Amit Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Kuldeep Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr.  Birla corporation Limited Versus Adventz investment and holding limited  Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala  Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan  Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.  Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct  State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors.  Vijay Kumar Gupta, & Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.  Anita Suresh Versus Union of India & Ors. (delhi high court)  Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand  221  State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria & arr.  Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct  233  Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh & anr.  239  Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar	•	Jagdishraj Khatta Versus State of Himachal Pradesh	162
<ul> <li>Govind Singh Versus The State of Chhattisgarh</li> <li>Rashmi Chopra Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Anita Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan Chopra Through power of Attorney holder Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Amit Chopra Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Kuldeep Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr.</li> <li>Birla corporation Limited Versus Adventz investment and holding limited</li> <li>Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala</li> <li>Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan</li> <li>Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.</li> <li>Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors.</li> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.</li> <li>Anita Suresh Versus Union of India &amp; Ors. (delhi high court)</li> <li>Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand</li> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria &amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh &amp; anr.</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar</li> </ul>	•		165
<ul> <li>Rashmi Chopra Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Anita Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan Chopra Through power of Attorney holder Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Amit Chopra Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Kuldeep Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr.</li> <li>Birla corporation Limited Versus Adventz investment and holding limited</li> <li>Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala</li> <li>Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan</li> <li>Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.</li> <li>Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors.</li> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.</li> <li>Anita Suresh Versus Union of India &amp; Ors. (delhi high court)</li> <li>Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand</li> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria &amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh &amp; anr.</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar</li> </ul>		Pradesh & anr.	
Anita Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan Chopra Through power of Attorney holder Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Amit Chopra Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Kuldeep Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr.  Birla corporation Limited Versus Adventz investment and holding limited  Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan  Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.  Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors.  Vijay Kumar Gupta, & Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p. Anita Suresh Versus Union of India & Ors. (delhi high court) Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria & anr.  Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct 233 Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh & anr. 239 Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar	•	Govind Singh Versus The State of Chhattisgarh	171
Nayan Chopra Through power of Attorney holder Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Amit Chopra Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Kuldeep Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr.  Birla corporation Limited Versus Adventz investment and holding limited  Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.  Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors. Vijay Kumar Gupta, & Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p. Anita Suresh Versus Union of India & Ors. (delhi high court) Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria & anr. Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct 233 Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh & anr. 239 Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar	•	Rashmi Chopra Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With	173
Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Amit Chopra Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Kuldeep Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr.  Birla corporation Limited Versus Adventz investment and holding limited  Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan  Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.  Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors.  Vijay Kumar Gupta, & Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.  Anita Suresh Versus Union of India & Ors. (delhi high court)  Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand  State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria & anr.  Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct  Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh & anr.  248		Anita Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With	
Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Kuldeep Gandhi Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr.  Birla corporation Limited Versus Adventz investment and holding limited  Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan  Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.  Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors.  Vijay Kumar Gupta, & Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p. Anita Suresh Versus Union of India & Ors. (delhi high court)  Anita Suresh Versus State of Uttarakhand  221 State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria 227 & anr.  Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct 233 Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh & anr. 239 Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar		Nayan Chopra Through power of Attorney holder Rajesh Chopra	
Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr.  Birla corporation Limited Versus Adventz investment and holding limited  Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.  Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors. Vijay Kumar Gupta, & Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p. Anita Suresh Versus Union of India & Ors. (delhi high court) Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria & anr. Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh & anr. Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar		Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Amit Chopra	
Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr.  Birla corporation Limited Versus Adventz investment and holding limited  Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala 184  Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan 189  Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar 194 and ors. Etc.  Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct 204  State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors. 209  Vijay Kumar Gupta, & Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p. 210  Anita Suresh Versus Union of India & Ors. (delhi high court) 216  Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand 221  State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria 227 & anr.  Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct 233  Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh & anr. 239  Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar 248		Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Kuldeep Gandhi	
<ul> <li>Birla corporation Limited Versus Adventz investment and holding limited</li> <li>Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala</li> <li>Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan</li> <li>Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.</li> <li>Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors.</li> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.</li> <li>Anita Suresh Versus Union of India &amp; Ors. (delhi high court)</li> <li>Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand</li> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria &amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar</li> </ul>		Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr. With Rajesh Chopra	
<ul> <li>Iimited</li> <li>Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala</li> <li>Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan</li> <li>Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.</li> <li>Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors.</li> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.</li> <li>Anita Suresh Versus Union of India &amp; Ors. (delhi high court)</li> <li>Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand</li> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria &amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh &amp; anr.</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar</li> </ul>		Versus The State of Uttar Pradesh and anr.	
<ul> <li>Guman Singh Versus State of Rajasthan</li> <li>Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.</li> <li>Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors.</li> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.</li> <li>Anita Suresh Versus Union of India &amp; Ors. (delhi high court)</li> <li>Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand</li> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria &amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh &amp; anr.</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar</li> </ul>	•		183
<ul> <li>Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.</li> <li>Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct 204</li> <li>State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors. 209</li> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p. 210</li> <li>Anita Suresh Versus Union of India &amp; Ors. (delhi high court) 216</li> <li>Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand 221</li> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria &amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct 233</li> <li>Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh &amp; anr. 239</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar 248</li> </ul>	•	Omanakuttan Versus The State of Kerala	184
<ul> <li>Central bureau of Investigation Versus Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.</li> <li>Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct 204</li> <li>State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors. 209</li> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p. 210</li> <li>Anita Suresh Versus Union of India &amp; Ors. (delhi high court) 216</li> <li>Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand 221</li> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria &amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct 233</li> <li>Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh &amp; anr. 239</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar 248</li> </ul>			189
and ors. Etc.  Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct  State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors.  Vijay Kumar Gupta, & Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.  Anita Suresh Versus Union of India & Ors. (delhi high court)  Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand  State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria & anr.  Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct  Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh & anr.  Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	194
<ul> <li>State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors.</li> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.</li> <li>Anita Suresh Versus Union of India &amp; Ors. (delhi high court)</li> <li>Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand</li> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria</li> <li>&amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh &amp; anr.</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Vijay Kumar Gupta, &amp; Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.</li> <li>Anita Suresh Versus Union of India &amp; Ors. (delhi high court)</li> <li>Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand</li> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria</li> <li>&amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh &amp; anr.</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar</li> </ul>	•	Kaushal Kishore Versus State of Delhi nct	204
<ul> <li>Anita Suresh Versus Union of India &amp; Ors. (delhi high court)</li> <li>Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand</li> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria</li> <li>&amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh &amp; anr.</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar</li> </ul>	•	State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors.	209
<ul> <li>Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand</li> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria</li> <li>&amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh &amp; anr.</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar</li> </ul>	•	Vijay Kumar Gupta, & Muneshwar Dayal Versus State of u.p.	210
<ul> <li>State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria 227 &amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct 233</li> <li>Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh &amp; anr. 239</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar 248</li> </ul>	•	Anita Suresh Versus Union of India & Ors. (delhi high court)	216
<ul> <li>&amp; anr.</li> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh &amp; anr.</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar</li> </ul>	•	Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhand	221
<ul> <li>Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct</li> <li>Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh &amp; anr.</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar</li> </ul>	•	State of Rajasthan Versus Mahesh Kumar @ Mahesh Dhaulpuria	227
<ul> <li>Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh &amp; anr.</li> <li>Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar</li> <li>239</li> <li>248</li> </ul>		& anr.	
Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar     248	•	Wasim Versus State of Delhi nct	233
	•	Umesh Lilani Vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh & anr.	239
Girish Singh Versus The State of Uttarakhand     254	•	Sanjay Rajak Versus The State of Bihar	248
	•	Girish Singh Versus The State of Uttarakhand	254



	The Inc	lian Penal Code, 1860	
S.No.	Case Name	Facts	Date
1.	Mahadevappa	Deceased's Parents	January,7
	Versus	Most Are Natural In	2019
	Of State	Witnesses Dowry	
	Karnataka	Death.	
2.	Yogendra	(Section 354(3) of	January
	@jogendra singh	Cr.P.C. Section 302	17, 2019
	Versus	IPC Intention	
	State of Madhya	resulted into an more	
	Pradesh	attack than severe	
		planned which then	
		resulted	
		death would not fall	
		in the rare trest	
		cases	
3.	Rajesh	Is. Read with s. 107	Jan 18,
	Versus	IPC) Conviction	2019
	State of Haryana	u/s.306 IPC is not	
	(2019 sc)	sustainable on the	nnner
	1 1011	allegation of	PPO
		harassment without	
		There being any positive action	
		proximate to the	
		time occurrence	
		on the part of the	
		accused which led or	
		compelled the	
		person to commit	
		suicide	



				<del>_</del>
4.	Nawaz Versus The state rep. By Inspector of police	Calling Wife And Daughter As Prostitute Amounts to Grave And Sudden Provocatin.	Feb 6,2019	
5.	Ramji u. State of Punjab (2019 sc)	Crime committed in as much as in four stages, exhibiting unruly behaviour, then offence cannot be said to be done in a sudden provocation.	Feb 6, 2019	
6.	State of uttar Pradesh Versus Faquirey	Voluntary Provocation Cannot Attract Exception to Offence of Murder	February 11, 2019	
7.	Mala singh andnors.versus State of Haryana	Section 34 As well As Section 149 Of The Indian Penal Code, 1860 Deals With Liability For Constructive Criminality i.e. Vicarious Liability Of A Person For Acts Of Others.	February 11,2019	n you
8.	Gagan kumar Versus The state of Punjab	Magistrate Shall Specify Whether Sentences Awarded Would Run Concurrently or	February 12, 2019	
	<ul><li>5.</li><li>7.</li></ul>	Versus The state rep. By Inspector of police  5. Ramji u. State of Punjab (2019 sc)  6. State of uttar Pradesh Versus Faquirey  7. Mala singh andnors.versus State of Haryana  8. Gagan kumar Versus The state of	The state rep. By Inspector of police  5. Ramji u. State of Punjab (2019 sc)  6. State of uttar Pradesh Versus Faquirey  7. Mala singh andnors.versus State of Haryana  7. Mala singh (2019 sc)  7. Mala singh (2019 sc)  8. Gagan kumar Versus The state of Punjab (2019 sc)  8. Gagan kumar Versus Specify Whether Sentences Awarded Would Run	Versus The state rep. By Inspector of police  5. Ramji u. State of Punjab (2019 sc)  6. State of uttar Pradesh Versus Faquirey  7. Mala singh andnors.versus State of Haryana  State of Haryana  8. Gagan kumar Versus February Code, 1860 Deals With Liability Of A Person For Acts Of Others.  8. Gagan kumar Versus The state of Punjab  Daughter As Prostitute Amounts to Grave And Sudden Provocatin.  6. Crime committed in as much as in four stages, exhibiting unruly behaviour, then offence cannot be said to be done in a sudden provocation.  February 11, 2019  February 12, 2019  February 12, 2019



		I Unleash the topper in you	
		Consecutively	
		In The Order -	
9.	Balvir Singh Bhav singh harnam singh Versus State of mp		February1 9,2019
10.	State of madhya Pradesh Versus Harjeet singh and another	Merely Causing "Hurt" Is Sufficient To Attract Section 307 Indiana Penal Code, 1860 And An Injury To Be On A Vital Part' Of The Body Is Not Required.	February 19, 2019
11.	Dnyaneshwar Suresh borkar Versus State of Maharashtra Thangasamy	How The Poems Written By Death Convict Helped Him To Save From The Gallows? SECTION 279, 337	20 February 2019 Feb 20,
	Versus State of tamil nadu	and 304A of The Indian Penal Code 1860.	2019
13.	Jagdish Versus State of Madhya Pradesh	Inordinate And Unexplained Delay In Deciding Mercy Petition, Commutes Death Sentence	February 21, 2019
14.	Sukumaran Versus State rep. By the Inspector of police	Section 96.106 of IPC th accused need not prove The existence of The right of Private defence Beyond reasonable	March 7, 2019



			Doubt.		·
	15.	Sachin kumar	Death Sentence	March 12,	
		Singhraha	Must Be imposed	2019	
		Versus	Only When Life		
		State of madhya	Imprisonment		
		Pradesh	Appears To Be		
			Altogether		
			Inappropriate		
			Punishment -		
	16.	Ganga Prasad	Section 376 of	March	
		Mahto	IPC, 1860- In Rape	26,2019	
		Versus	cases mere reliance		
		State of Bihar and	upon the witnesses		
		Anr. (2019 SC)	without medical		
			examination		
			does not offord	_	
			evidence beyond		
		0 0	reasonable doubt.		
	17.	Rupali devi versus	Section-498A: Wife	April 9,	
		State of uttar	Has Been Given	2019	
		Pradesh and ors.	Right To Lodge	NO IO O II	NVOL
		, i uni	Complaint Almost	ppper i	ri you
-			From Everywhere -		
	18.	Anurag soni	Sections 90 &	April 9,	
		Versus state of	376 of IPC- A	2019	
		Chhattisgah (2019	promise to marry a		
		sc)	woman, when the		
			accused never		
			intended to many		
			her from the very		
			Inception of such		
			promises would fall		
			under the		
			description		
			Consent Of IPC		



			1 Tunicash the topper in you		
			Wis. 90 and consequently amount to Rape u/s.376 IPC.		
	19.	The state of Rajasthan Versus Kanhiya lal (2019 sc)	S.302 & 304 IPC- A single blow on the vital part of body, sufficient to cause death in ordinary course of nature, attracts s.302 IPC and not s. 304 IPC	April 10,2019	
	20.	Ashok kumar mehra and anr. Versus The state of punjab, etc.	Supreme Court Acquits The Accused Of Murder After 20 Years, Finding That He Was Juvenile At The Time Of Incident-	April 10, 2019	
-	21.	Union of india Versus Dharam pal	Solitary Confinement Of Death Convict Prior To Rejection Of Mercy Petition is Palpably Illegal	April 22, 2019	n you
-	22.	Nagji Odhavji Kumbher and Anr. versus State of Gujarat (2019 SC)	Sections 100, 304 &	April 23, 2019	
	23.	JAGDISHRAJ KHATTA VERSUS	Section 498A and 306 IPC: Incidents which Happened Much Before Wife's	April 26, 2019	



			I Unleash the topper in you	
		STATE OF HIMACHAL PRADESH	Death Cannot Be Treated As Conduct Which Deove Her to Suicide-	
	24.	Vikarm johar Versus The state of Uttar Pradesh & anr.	S. 227, 202, 156(3), 245 Cr.P.C; 504 Ss. 503, 506 IPC Mere Abuse In A Filthy Languages Does Not Attract of Offence Criminal Intimidation Under Section 506 IPC.	April 26, 2019
	25.	Govind singh Versus The state Chhattisgarh of	If an attack made with an object in proximity of the accused would generally amount to an act done in spur of moment.	April, 30, 2019
	26.	Rashmi chopra Versus The state of uttar Pradesh and anr. With Anita gandhi Versus The state of uttar Pradesh and anr. With Nayan chopra Through power of Attorney holder Rajesh chopra Versus	SECTION 498A IPC: Complaint Need Not To Be Filed By The Woman Subjected To Cruelty Herself	April, 30, 2019



			1 Tonicaen the topper in you		
	27.	The state of uttar pradesh and anr. With Amit chopra Versus The state of uttar Pradesh and anr. With Kuldeep gandhi Versus The state of uttar prsdesh and anr. With rajesh chopra versus the state of utter pradesh and anr. Birla corporation	Information	May 9,	
		Limited	Contained In A	2019	
		Versus	Document Is A	7X 0	
		Adventz	'Corporeal		
		investment	Property' -	opper	и уон
		And holding limited		PPOI	11 904
=	28.	Omanakuttan	The 'Abid'	May 9,	
		Versus	Undoubtedly A	2019	
		The state of	"Corrosive's		
		kerala	Substance Within		
			the Meaning Of		
			Section 826 Of		
			The Indian Renal		
	20	C	Code -	A A	
	29.	Guman singh	Section 302 and	May	
		Versus	The Penal 307 of	24,2019	
	30	State of rajasthan Central bureau of	SC Dismisses	May 24,	
	JU	Investigation	CBI's Appeal	May 24, 2019	
		Versus	Against Commutation		
_L		1.0.000	, gans roommaranon		



			I Unleash the topper in you	
		Sakru mahagu Binjewar and ors. Etc.	Of Death Penalty In A Murder Case	
	31.	Kaushal kishore Versus State nct of delhi	The Charges Under Section 306 And Section Indian 498A Code, 1862 Are Independent Of Each Other And Acquittal Of One Does Not Lead To Acquittal Of The Other -	May 28, 2019
	32.	State of madhya Pradesh Versus Kalicharan and Ors.	Case Of Death By Single Blow On Vital Part Of Body May Fall Under Section 302 IPC (Murder)	May 31, 2019
	33.	Vijay kumar gupta, & muneshwar dayal versus State of u.p.	Ingredients for Applying Exceptions IV to Section 300	July 05, 2019
	34.	Anita suresh Versus Union of india & Ors. (delhi high count)	DELHI HC IMPOSE RS. 50,000 COST ON For FALSE WOMAN FALSE FOR SEXUAL HARASSMET PLEA	July 9, 2019
	35.	Pratap singh @ Picki Versus State of Uttarakhad	The principles of proportionality of sentencing policy is that a punishment should not be disproportionate ly excessive	July 12, 2019



36.	State of Rajasthan Versus	CIRCUMSTANTI AL EVIDENCES	July 16, 2019
	Mahesh kumar @ Mahesh		
	Dhaulpuria & anr.		
37.	Wasim Versus State nct of delhi	Section 498A IPC When Conviction by Trial Court Was Not	July 18, 2019
		For Dowry Demand, HC Cant Convict Accused for It	
		Without Appreciating Evidence Record	A
38.	Umesh lilani Vs. The state of Madhya pradesh	Consensual Sex even after Refusal marry dose not amount to rape	July 18, 2019
	& anr.	•	
39.	Sanjay Rajak Versus The state of Bihar	Failure to Recover Dead body By Itself Doesn't Entitle Accused to Benefit of Doubt	July 22, 2019
40.	Girish Singh Versus The state of Uttarakhand	Cruelty not Related to dowry cannot Be basis for Conviction under Section 304B IPC.	JULY 23, 2019



## Deceased's Parents Are Most Natural Witnesses In Dowry Death.

Mahadevappa Versus State of karnataka, (Supreme Court)

Judgment: Hon'ble J, Abhay Manohar Sapre, Hon'ble J, Indu Malhotra

Pronounced by: Hon'ble Abhay Manohar Sapre J.

Dated: 7 January 2019

#### **Facts**

The appellant (accused) was married to (deceased) on 04.06.1994 and on same day, younger sister of deceased was also got married to the appellant's younger brother. Soon after marriage, the deceased told her parents that appellant used to drink liquor and always asked her to bring money. She also told that the appellant used to harass and beat her for illegal demands of money. On 2.10.1995, the father of (deceased) received a message that his daughter admitted to hospital for burn injuries. On reaching hospital, deceased told him that the appellant had poured kerosene oil on her body and set her on fire. The plea taken by the appellant was that the incident was accidental and not homicidal as the deceased sustained injuries because she was near the oven when her sari caught fire.

FIR was lodged by PW, (father of deceased) against the appellant for the commission of offences under Section 498A and 302 IPC.

The session judge acquitted the appellant of all charges and held that prosecution has failed to prove the charge of dowry demand and also that death of deceased was homicidal.

The state/respondent filed an appeal in High Court and the High Court reversed the order of acquittal, and, convicted and sentenced him for life imprisonment under Section 498A and 30. IPC. Thereafter, the appellant challenged the above judgement before the Supreme Court.



#### **Issues**

- 1. Whether the death of deceased can be regarded as "Dowry death"?
- 2. Whether the death was homicide or accidental in nature?

With respect to first issue, the father of deceased (PW,) deposed in his evidence that appellant was working as a constable in state police department and was addicted to consuming alcohol daily, and, often visited to his (PW,) house in fully drunken condition. Deceased told him and his wife that appellant under the influence of alcohol used to insist her to consume liquor and dance before him undressed. His daughter told him many times that the appellant used to harass her and used to insist to bring Rs. 4000 to 5000/- from her parents. On two occasions, PW, managed to send 2000/- but third time he declined due to the poor financial capacity. Deceased also told him that she apprehends danger to her life and therefore would like to come back and stay with her parents in their house. Thereafter, with the intervention of elder members of the village, deceased agreed to go back and stay with appellant. After going there, she sent a letter to her father (PW;) mentioning the incidents of ill-treatment meted out by her husband. Deceased again made demand for 3000/- for the appellant. On 2-10-1995, a message came to him that her laughter suffered extensive burns on her body and admitted to hospital. On the reaching there, his daughter told him that appellant poured kerosene oil on her body, due to which she suffered injuries.

The Mother of deceased (PW4) also corroborated the evidence of PW,. The Son-in-Law of PW, and younger brother of appellant (PWs) deposed that appellant used to ill-treat his wife (deceased) and at times beat her also..

Therefore, it is proved that appellant used to demand money quite often and at times used to ill-treat and assault deceased and these incidents did not occur once but on many occasions, started soon after marriage which continued till deceased's death.

The said issue was decided in the Affirmative. On second issue:

1. At the time of incident the only appellant was present in the house with the deceased and the same fact was not in dispute. Ought, to, cannot be believed.



- 2. The evidence of investigation Officer, post mortem report, FSL report and the evidence of doctor has proved that kerosene oil was found on the body of deceased and bottle of kerosene was also lying in the room. The presence of kerosene oil on the body of deceased indicates that the same was poured other body.
- 3. It is not submitted anywhere in the case that the deceased has tried to commit suicide by pouring kerosene oil upon her and put herself on fire.
- 4. The relationship between the spouses unis not cordial and appellant always used to demand money from deceased.
- 5. Had it been the case of accidental death, the burn injuries sustained by the deceased would have been more on the lower part of the body rather than upon the upper Part. According to appellant, the deceased was near the oven when her sari caught fire, whereas post-mortem report shows that the burn injuries were more on her upper part and her blouse was found burnt.
- 6. Therefore, in the absence of any plausible explanation given by the appellants, the manner in which incident occurred and material seized from the room i.e., kerosene oil bottle and other circumstances, it is proved beyond reasonable doubt that appellant is responsible for causing death of deceased.

Therefore, the death of deceased was homicidal and not accidental.

## Conclusion

The Court observed that father and mother of deceased are the most natural and material witnesses and there is no reason to discard their evidence. Newly married girl would always like to first disclose her domestic problems to her mother and father and then to her close relatives because they have access to her and are always helpful in solving her problems. Therefore, the testimony given by deceased's parents is trustworthy and reliable. Hence, appeal dismissed.



## [Section 302 IPC. Section 354(3) of Cr.P.C.]

Intention resulted into an attack more severe than planned which then resulted into death would not fall in rare of the rarest cases.

> Yogendra @ Jogendra Singh Versus State of Madhya Pradesh

## 3 Judges Bench of Hon'ble Supreme Court

Hon'ble S.A. Bobde, R. Subhash Reddy and L. Nageswara Rao JJ.

**Dated**: January, 17, 2019

#### Law Point

- 1. Concept of mitigating factors in the area of death penalty must receive a liberal and expansive construction by the courts in accord with the sentencing policy writ large in Section 354(3).
- 2. A real and abiding concern for the dignity of human life postulates resistance to taking a life through law's instrumentality. That ought not to be done save in the rarest of rare cases when the alternative option is unquestionably foreclosed.
- 3. If there is a pattern discernible across both the cases then a second conviction for murder would warrant the imposition of a death sentence.

## **Brief facts**

In this case the deceased Ruby was married to one Mr. Sanjay Gupta and had two issues from the wedlock. The Appellant covered her and the husband suspected an affair between his wife - the deceased and the Appellant and harassed her accusing her of the same. The deceased thereafter came to live with her maternal uncle. The Appellant pressurized the deceased's father (PW 8) for summoning her to Porsa (a place) and threatened him with dire consequences if his demand was not fulfilled.

On the ominous night of summer, the deceased and her family members went to their respective rooms and retired for the night. The doors were kept open since it was summer. There was light in the rooms and the courtyard from



some bulbs. The Appellant snuck into the room of the deceased and warned her "though she doesn't want to live with him he is not going to let her live with anybody else". The father of the deceased, Dataram (PW 8) woke up on hearing this and saw the Appellant running away after throwing acid on his daughter. The deceased started screaming, whereupon other family members tried to save her the Appellant then, threw acid on the other members of the family, burning and injuring all of them. In the attack, the deceased sustained burn Injuries to the extent of 90% all over her body while others also sustained burn injuries. In the incident the grandmother of the deceased Smt. Chandrakala (PW 3) and one Raju -nephew (PW 7) of the deceased and Janu (PW 4) brother of the deceased were also injured. A Dying declaration of the deceased was recorded which pointed out the accused as culprit Also, dying declarations made by the injured were consistence with the dying declaration of the deceased. Though the injured survived the injuries.

The Appellant committed this crime when he was out on bail in another case wherein he has been convicted for murder and his sentence has been upheld. In that case the appellant was charged along with co-accused one Kiran Nurse for committing the murder of one Laxmi Narayan alias Laxman Singh in the intervening night of 27.07. 1994 and 28.07.1994. And this incident occurred on 21.07.2013,

## Decision of the Trial Court

The Sessions Court awarded the Appellant death sentence under Section 302 of the IPC and also, convicted him for disfiguring and injuring these people by throwing acid under Section 326(A) of IPC.

## Decision of Hon'ble High Court

By an order of High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Gwalior Bench, dated 12.12.2014 confirming the death sentence awarded to the appellant by the Sessions Court, Ambah, District Morena (M.P.) vide its judgment in Sessions Trial No.388/2013 dated 24.07.2014. The Appellant has been convicted under sections 302, 326(A) and 460 of IPC and awarded capital punishment of death sentence, life sentence on three counts and fine of Rs.25,000/-each, and ten years' R.I. and fine of Rs.5000/- with default stipulations, respectively. This death sentence has been confirmed by the High Court on a reference under Section 366 of er.P.Ca



An appeal was then filed before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on behalf of appellant accused.

#### Points of determination

- 1. Whether the court below erred by convicting the accused in 302 IPC?
- 2. Whether there are special reasons as to why the appellant should be sentenced to death?

### Observation by Hon'ble Supreme Court

## Answer to point 1

Hon'ble Court observed that they are satisfied that the Appellant has been rightly convicted for causing the death of the deceased Smt. Ruby as all the circumstances of the case and particularly the dying declaration of Smt. Ruby, unerringly point, to the Appellant as the one who caused her death. There is no conjecture, surmise or inference n the narration of the witnesses who saw the Appellant in the act and were themselves Also, the victim of his acid attack. Also, the evidence on record was sufficient to prove the guilt of accused beyond reasonable doubt. Thus, the conviction of the accused under section 302 IPC stands valid and requires no interference.

## Answer to point 2

Hon'ble Court then advert to the question as to whether there are special reasons to sentence the Appellant to death. And then, the Court analysed the reasons which may count as 'special reasons' to sentence a convict to death as follow:

1. The term 'special reasons' undoubtedly means reasons that are one of a special kind and not general reasons. In the present case there is one factor, which might warrant the imposition of the death sentence, as vehemently, urged by the learned counsel for the Stare that the Appellant committed this crime when he was out on bail in another case wherein he has been convicted for murder and his sentence has been upheld. It is undoubtedly difficult to ignore this fact but we find that it is safer to consider the imposition of sentence based on the facts of this particular case. If there is a pattern discernible across both the cases then a second conviction for murder would warrant the imposition of a death sentence, But that does not appear to be so in the present case. The earlier incident